



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/780,974

02/17/2004

Gary Kiwimagi

15007.915US02

1673

43439 7590 03/04/2009
BERENBAUM, WEINSHIENK & EASON, P.C
370 17TH STREET
SUITE 4800
DENVER, CO 80202

EXAMINER

GELAGAY, SHEWAYE

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2437

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

03/04/2009

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/780,974	Applicant(s) KIWIMAGI ET AL.	
	Examiner SHEWAYE GELAGAY	Art Unit 2437	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 December 2008.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 12/16/08 has been entered.
2. Claims 1, 8 and 12 have been amended. Claims 1-20 are pending.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with filed 12/16/08 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 1-9, 11-13 and 16-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Alegree et al. (hereinafter Alegree) US Patent Number 6,199,113 in view of Glowney et al. (hereinafter Glowney) US 5,537,642.

Art Unit: 2437

As per claims 1, 8 and 12:

Alegree teaches a method comprising: generating session information at the control node (figure 2, 210) in response to a request from a client (figure 2, 110) to access a system node (figure 2, 138) and sending the session information to the client, the system node, and a data node (col. 6, lines 29-31; authentication database stores information defining which users may access resource on a trusted network) if the client and system node satisfy at least one condition for accessing each other; (col. 4, lines 17-23; when the user wants to access trusted network 138, the user sends a request from client browser 110, ... web host stores the session key at client browser and also sends trusted network) receiving at the data node a request from the client to access the system node and a request from the system node to access the client; (col. 4, lines 31-39 and lines 43-48; access server verifies that the network request packet came from web host, extracts the session key from the network request packet) and establishing a first secure authenticated connection between the client and the system node via the data node based at least in part of the session information. (col. 4, lines 48-67; ...if the session key is still valid, access server performing the request...access server performs the request)

Alegree does not explicitly disclose a system node discrete from the control node and a data node discrete from the control node and the system node. Glowney in analogues art, however, discloses a system node discrete from the control node and a data node discrete from the control node and the system node. (figure 1; col. 3, line 44-col. 5, line 10) Therefore it would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at

Art Unit: 2437

the time the invention was made to modify the method disclosed by Algree with Glowney in order provide a system for remotely controlling a resource in a manner that allows remote access for establishing the location of the resource to be controlled and for creating a link between a control console and that resource. (col. lines 25-33; Glowney)

As per claim 2:

The combination of Algree and Glowney teaches all the subject matter as discussed above. In addition, Algree further discloses receiving at the control node a request from the client for the session information. (col. 7, lines 2-43)

As per claims 3, 9 and 13:

The combination of Algree and Glowney teaches all the subject matter as discussed above. In addition, Algree further discloses prior to receiving the request from the client to access the system node, registering the system node with the control node. (col. 4, lines 32-47)

As per claims 4 and 19-20:

The combination of Algree and Glowney teaches all the subject matter as discussed above. In addition, Algree further discloses prior to receiving the request from the client to access the system node, providing a list of registered system nodes to the client, wherein the system node is selected at the client from the list of registered system nodes. (col. 4, lines 55-67; col. 7, lines 2-65)

As per claims 5 and 17-18:

The combination of Algree and Glowney teaches all the subject matter as discussed above. In addition, Algree further discloses notifying the system node when a message is received from the client at the data node. (col. 4, lines 55-67; col. 8, lines 28-44)

As per claims 6-7 and 16:

The combination of Algree and Glowney teaches all the subject matter as discussed above. In addition, Algree further discloses establishing a second secure authenticated connection between the system node and the data node. (col. 4, lines 55-67)

As per claim 11:

The combination of Algree and Glowney teaches all the subject matter as discussed above. In addition, Algree further discloses wherein a computer process at the data node further comprises: notifying the system node when a message is received from the client at the data node; (col. 4, lines 32-67) establishing a second secure authenticated connection between the system node and the data node; (col. 4, lines 32-67) and sending the message from the data node over the second secure authenticated connection between the system node and the data node. (col. 4, lines 32-67)

6. Claims 10, 14-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Alegree et al. (hereinafter Alegree) US Patent Number 6,199,113 in view of Glowney et al. (hereinafter Glowney) US 5,537,642 and further view of Araujo US 7,275,113.

As per claims 10 and 14-15:

The combination of Algree and Glowney teaches all the subject matter as discussed above. Both references do not explicitly disclose wherein the computer process at the control node further comprises updating a client database at the control node with a dynamic network address for the system node on a recurring basis. Araujo in analogous art, however, discloses wherein the computer process at the control node further comprises updating a client database at the control node with a dynamic network address for the system node on a recurring basis. (col. 8, lines 41- col. 9, line 31) Therefore it would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the method disclosed by Algree and Glowney with Araujo in order to provide a system with a controller that enables efficient establishment of a communication path via the communication network without requiring the communicating devices to have static address. (col. 10, lines 39-44; Araujo)

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to SHEWAYE GELAGAY whose telephone number is (571)272-4219. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 am to 5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Emmanuel Moise can be reached on 571-272-3865. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2437

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/S. G./

Examiner, Art Unit 2437

/Nasser G Moazzami/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2436